RESPONSE

The Examiner imposed a two-way restriction requirement on the Applicant. The Applicant traverses this two-way restriction requirement.

Applicant elects Species II and Claims 7-8, 10-19, 21-23 and 25-37 with traverse for prosecution. Applicant cancels Species I Claims 1-6, 9, 20 and 24.

Species I and Claims 1-6, 9, 20 and 24 are canceled due a Restriction Requirement imposed by the Examiner. The Applicant traverses all assertions made by the Examiner.

The Applicant reserves the right to file additional continuing or divisional applications or take such other appropriate action as deemed necessary to protect the non-elected inventions and/or species covered by the canceled claims. The Applicant does not hereby make any comment, abandon or waive any rights in the non-elected inventions and/or species whatsoever.

Information Disclosure Statements

The Applicant has filed two (2) Information Disclosure Statements for this application including a first electronically filed on December 21, 2004, EFSID 74,721 and 74,723, and a second Supplemental filed December 27, 2005. The Applicant acknowledges that the Examiner has considered all of the documents only on the Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement filed December 27, 2005. The Applicant requests the Examiner also consider the Information Disclosure Statements filed electronically on December 21, 2004.

CONCLUSION

Species II and Claims 7-8, 10-19, 21-23 and 25-37 remain in the application. Claims

7, 19, 21, 22 and 25 are in Independent format. Applicant requests Claims 7-8, 10-19, 21-23

and 25-37 be immediately examined.

Respectfully submitted.

Lesavich High-Tech Law Group, PC (32097)

Dated: <u>February 9, 2007</u>   **Stephen Lesavich, PhD**

Reg. No. 43,749

Voice: 312.332.3751